

On the use of complex data structures

- What do non-atomic data structures represent?
- Combining non-atomic data structures
- Term unification
- Representing feature structures
- Feature structure unification

What do non-atomic data structures represent?

`s --> np(Per,Num), vp(Per,Num).`

The rule represents a set of ground instances, one for each possible **substitution** of a variable with a value.

`s --> np(first,sing), vp(first,sing).`

`s --> np(second,sing), vp(second,sing).`

`s --> np(third,sing), vp(third,sing).`

`s --> np(first,plur), vp(first,plur).`

`s --> np(second,plur), vp(second,plur).`

`s --> np(third,plur), vp(third,plur).`

Combining compound terms

$s \rightarrow np(\text{Per}, \text{Num}), vp(\text{Per}, \text{Num}) .$

$np(\text{third}, \text{sing}) \rightarrow [\text{he}] .$

$np(\text{third}, \text{plur}) \rightarrow [\text{they}] .$

$vp(\text{third}, \text{sing}) \rightarrow [\text{walks}] .$

$vp(\text{third}, \text{plur}) \rightarrow [\text{walk}] .$

Two possible substitutions:

$\text{third}/\text{Per}, \text{sing}/\text{Num}$ or $\text{third}/\text{Per}, \text{plur}/\text{Num}$

Most general unifiers

`termUnify(f(X,h(Y,e),g(d(Z),e)),
f(Y,h(d(Z),e),g(Y,e))).`

Which substitution should one report?

- $d(a)/X$ or $d(a)/X$
- $d(b)/X$ or $d(b)/X$
- $d(h(a,b))/X$ and $d(h(a,b))/X$

Pick the most general unifier (mgu):

- $d(Z)/X$ and $d(Z)/X$

Infinite trees

- What happens when one unifies
 - $f(X)$ with X ?
 - $f(a, g(X, b))$ with X ?
- Add an **occurs check** to test whether the variable occurs in the term.
- In practice too costly.

Term unification

- A variable unifies with any term it does not occur in.
- An atom (functor or constant) unifies only with an identical atom.
- Compound terms unify if their functors are identical and their arguments unify pairwise, and the substitutions obtained as a result of each of these unifications are compatible.

Explicit term unification in Prolog

```
termUnify(X,Y) :-  
    var(X),!,  
    X = Y.
```

```
termUnify(X,Y) :-  
    var(Y),!,  
    X = Y.
```

```
termUnify(X,Y) :-  
    X =.. [XFunctor|XArgs],  
    Y =.. [YFunctor|YArgs],  
    XFunctor=YFunctor,  
    unifyArgs(XArgs,YArgs).
```

```
unifyArgs([],[]).  
unifyArgs([X|Xs],[Y|Ys]) :-  
    termUnify(X,Y),  
    unifyArgs(Xs,Ys).
```


Representing feature structures in Prolog

- Feature names and atomic values represented by Prolog atoms
- The operator “:” is defined to separate feature:value
?- op(500,xfy,:).
- Prolog variables encode structure sharing.
- Feature structures represented as Prolog lists with open tails:
 - [person:third, num:sing|_]
 - [person:X, num:sing, head:subj:person:X|_]

Unifying feature structures in Prolog

```
unify(Dag,Dag) :- !.
```

```
unify([Path:Val | Rest1], Dag) :-  
    pathval(Dag,Path,Val,Rest2),  
    unify(Rest1,Rest2)
```

```
pathval([Feat:Val1 | Rest], Feat, Val2, Rest) :-  
    !, unify(Val1,Val2).
```

```
pathval([Dag | Rest], Feat, Val, [Dag | Rest2]) :-  
    pathval(Rest,Feat,Val,Rest2).
```

Towards grammar rules in PATR

```
rule(S, [NP, VP]) :-  
    pathval(S, cat, s, _),  
    pathval(NP, cat, np, _),  
    pathval(VP, cat, vp, _),  
    pathval(NP, per, X, _),  
    pathval(VP, per, X, _),  
    pathval(NP, num, Y, _),  
    pathval(VP, num, Y, _).
```

Grammar rules in PATR

?- op(500,xfy,:).
?- op(500,xfx,--->).
?- op(600,xfy,===).

S ---> [NP,VP] :-
S:cat === s,
NP:cat === np,
VP:cat === vp,
NP:per === X,
VP:per === X,
NP:num === Y,
VP:num === Y.

Assigning a general meaning to “===”

`X === Y :-`

`denotes(X,Z),`

`denotes(Y,Z).`

`denotes(Var,Var) :-`

`var(Var),!.`

`denotes(Atom,Atom) :-`

`atomic(Atom),!.`

```
denotes(Dag:Path, Value) :-  
    pathval(Dag, Path, Value).
```

```
pathval(Dag1, Feature:Path, Value, Dags) :-  
    !, pathval(Dag1, Feature, Dag2, Dags).  
    pathval(Dag2, Path, Value).
```

Two notes on ALE

- Testing for unifiability: `mgsat/1`
- Stepwise addition of edges to chart: `interp/0`