

**Remembering subresults:  
From well-formed substring tables to active charts**

Detmar Meurers: Intro to Computational Linguistics I  
OSU, LING 684.01, 17., 19., 21. February 2003

## Problem: Inefficiency of recomputing subresults

Two example sentences and their potential analysis:

- (1) He [[gave [the young cat]] [to Bill]].
- (2) He [[gave [the young cat]] [some milk]].

The corresponding grammar rules:

```
v_np ---> [v_ditrans, np].  
vp    ---> [v_np, pp_to].  
vp    ---> [v_np, np].
```

## Solution: Memoization

- Store intermediate results:
  - a) completely analyzed constituents:  
**well-formed substring table** or **(passive) chart**
  - b) partial and complete analyses:  
**(active) chart**
- All intermediate results need to be stored for completeness.
- All possible solutions are explored in parallel.

# CYK Parser

- Developed independently by Cocke, Younger, and Kasami
- Grammar has to be in Chomsky Normal Form (CNF), only
  - RHS with a single terminal:  $A \rightarrow a$
  - RHS with two non-terminals:  $A \rightarrow BC$
- Sentence representation showing position and word indices:

$\cdot_0 w_1 \cdot_1 w_2 \cdot_2 w_3 \cdot_3 w_4 \cdot_4 w_5 \cdot_5 w_6 \cdot_6$

For example:

$\cdot_0$  the  $\cdot_1$  young  $\cdot_2$  boy  $\cdot_3$  saw  $\cdot_4$  the  $\cdot_5$  dragon  $\cdot_6$

## The passive chart

- The well-formed substring table, henceforth (passive) chart, for a string of length  $n$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix.
- An entry in a field  $(i, j)$  of the chart encodes the set of categories which spans the string from position  $i$  to  $j$ .
- More formally:  $\text{chart}(i,j) = \{A \mid A \xRightarrow{*} w_{i+1} \dots w_j\}$

## Coverage represented in the chart

An input sentence with 6 words:

$\cdot_0$   $W_1$   $\cdot_1$   $W_2$   $\cdot_2$   $W_3$   $\cdot_3$   $W_4$   $\cdot_4$   $W_5$   $\cdot_5$   $W_6$   $\cdot_6$

Coverage represented in the chart:

TO:

	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	0-1	0-2	0-3	0-4	0-5	0-6
1		1-2	1-3	1-4	1-5	1-6
2			2-3	2-4	2-5	2-6
3				3-4	3-5	3-6
4					4-5	4-6
5						5-6

FROM:

## Example for coverage represented in chart

Example sentence:

·<sub>0</sub> the ·<sub>1</sub> young ·<sub>2</sub> boy ·<sub>3</sub> saw ·<sub>4</sub> the ·<sub>5</sub> dragon ·<sub>6</sub>

Coverage represented in chart:

	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	the	the young	the young boy	the young boy saw	the young boy saw the	the young boy saw the dragon
1		young	young boy	young boy saw	young boy saw the	young boy saw the dragon
2			boy	boy saw	boy saw the	boy saw the dragon
3				saw	saw the	saw the dragon
4					the	the dragon
5						dragon

## An example for a filled-in chart

**Input sentence:**

$\cdot_0$  the  $\cdot_1$  young  $\cdot_2$  boy  $\cdot_3$  saw  $\cdot_4$  the  $\cdot_5$  dragon  $\cdot_6$

**Chart:**

	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	{Det}	{}	{NP}	{}	{}	{S}
1		{Adj}	{N}	{}	{}	{}
2			{N}	{}	{}	{}
3				{V}	{}	{VP}
4					{Det}	{NP}
5						{N}

**Grammar:**

$S \rightarrow NP VP$

$VP \rightarrow Vt NP$

$NP \rightarrow Det N$

$N \rightarrow Adj N$

$Vt \rightarrow \text{saw}$

$Det \rightarrow \text{the}$

$Det \rightarrow \text{a}$

$N \rightarrow \text{dragon}$

$N \rightarrow \text{boy}$

$Adj \rightarrow \text{young}$

## Filling in the chart left-to-right, depth-first

	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	<b>1!</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>
1		<b>2!</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>20</b>
2			<b>4!</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>
3				<b>7!</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>18</b>
4					<b>11!</b>	<b>17</b>
5						<b>16!</b>

```
for  $j := 1$  to length(string)  
  lexical_chart_fill( $j - 1, j$ )  
  for  $i := j - 2$  down to 0  
    syntactic_chart_fill( $i, j$ )
```

## lexical\_chart\_fill(j-1,j)

- Idea: Lexical lookup. Fill the field  $(j - 1, j)$  in the chart with the preterminal category dominating word  $j$ .
- Realized as:

$$\text{chart}(j - 1, j) := \{X \mid X \rightarrow \text{word}_j \in P\}$$

## **syntactic\_chart\_fill(i,j)**

- Idea: Perform all reduction step using syntactic rules such that the reduced symbol covers the string from  $i$  to  $j$ .
- Realized as:

$$chart(i, j) = \left\{ A \left| \begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow BC \in P, \\ i < k < j, \\ B \in chart(i, k), \\ C \in chart(k, j) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

## Explicit version of syntactic\_chart\_fill(i,j)

- Needed: version making explicit enumerations of
  - every possible value of  $k$  and
  - every context free rule

- Code:

$chart(i, j) := \{\}$ .

for  $k := i + 1$  to  $j - 1$

  for every  $A \rightarrow BC \in P$

    if  $B \in chart(i, k)$  and  $C \in chart(k, j)$  then

$chart(i, j) := chart(i, j) \cup \{A\}$ .

## Overview of the CYK algorithm

Input: start category  $S$  and input *string*

$n := \text{length}(\textit{string})$

for  $j := 1$  to  $n$

    lexical\_chart\_fill( $j - 1, j$ )

    for  $i := j - 2$  down to 0

        syntactic\_chart\_fill( $i, j$ )

Output: if  $S \in \textit{chart}(0, n)$  then accept else reject

## The complete CYK algorithm

Input: start category  $S$  and input *string*

$n := \text{length}(\textit{string})$

for  $j := 1$  to  $n$

$\textit{chart}(j - 1, j) := \{X \mid X \rightarrow \textit{word}_j \in P\}$

for  $i := j - 2$  down to 0

$\textit{chart}(i, j) := \{\}$

for  $k := i + 1$  to  $j - 1$

for every  $A \rightarrow BC \in P$

if  $B \in \textit{chart}(i, k)$  and  $C \in \textit{chart}(k, j)$  then

$\textit{chart}(i, j) := \textit{chart}(i, j) \cup \{A\}$

Output: if  $S \in \textit{chart}(0, n)$  then accept else reject

## Dynamic knowledge bases in PROLOG

- Declaration of a dynamic predicate: `dynamic/1` declaration, e.g:

```
:- dynamic chart/3.
```

to store facts of the form `chart(From,To,Category):`

- Add a fact to the database: `assert/1`, e.g.:

```
assert(chart(1,3,np)).
```

Special versions `asserta/1/assertz/1` ensure adding facts first/last.

- Removing a fact from the database: `retract/1`, e.g.:

```
retract(chart(1,_,np)).
```

To remove all matching facts from the database use `retractall/1`

## The CYK algorithm in PROLOG (parser/cky/cky.pl)

```
:- dynamic chart/3.                % chart(From,To,Category)
:- op(1100,xfx,'--->').          % Operator for grammar rules

% recognize(+WordList,?Startsymbol): top-level of CYK recognizer

recognize(String,Cat) :-
    retractall(chart(_,_,_)),      % initialize chart
    length(String,N),              % determine length of string
    fill_chart(String,0,N),        % call parser to fill the chart
    chart(0,N,Cat).                % check whether parse successful
```

```

% fill_chart(+WordList,+Current minus one,+Last)
% J-LOOP from 1 to n

fill_chart([],N,N).
fill_chart([W|Ws],JminOne,N) :-
    J is JminOne + 1,
    lexical_chart_fill(W,JminOne,J),
    %
    I is J - 2,
    syntactic_chart_fill(I,J),
    %
    fill_chart(Ws,J,N).

```

```
% lexical_chart_fill(+Word,+JminOne,+J)
% fill diagonal with preterminals
```

```
lexical_chart_fill(W,JminOne,J) :-
    (Cat ---> [W]),
    add_to_chart(JminOne,J,Cat),
    fail
; true.
```

```
% syntactic_chart_fill(+I,+J)
% I-LOOP from J-2 downto 0

syntactic_chart_fill(-1,_) :- !.
syntactic_chart_fill(I,J) :-
    K is I+1,
    build_phrases_from_to(I,K,J),
    %
    IminOne is I-1,
    syntactic_chart_fill(IminOne,J).
```

```

% build_phrases_from_to(+I,+Current-K,+J)
% K-LOOP from I+1 to J-1

build_phrases_from_to(_,J,J) :- !.
build_phrases_from_to(I,K,J) :-
    chart(I,K,B),
    chart(K,J,C),
    (A ---> [B,C]),
    add_to_chart(I,J,A),
    fail
; KplusOne is K+1,
  build_phrases_from_to(I,KplusOne,J).

```

```
% add_to_chart(+Cat,+From,+To): add if not yet there
add_to_chart(From,To,Cat) :-
    chart(From,To,Cat),
    !.
add_to_chart(From,To,Cat) :-
    assertz(chart(From,To,Cat)).
```

## From well-formed substring tables to active charts

- CKY algorithm:
  - explores all analyses in parallel
  - bottom-up
  - stores complete subresults
- desiderata:
  - add top-down guidance (to only use rules derivable from start-symbol), but avoid left-recursion problem of top-down parsing
  - store partial analyses (useful for rules right-hand sides longer than 2)
- Idea: also store partial results, so that the chart contains
  - passive items: complete results
  - active items: partial results

## Representing active chart items

- well-formed substring entry:  
chart( $i, j, A$ ): from  $i$  to  $j$  there is a constituent of category  $A$

- More elaborate data structure needed to store partial results:

- rule considered + how far processing has succeeded

- dotted rule:

$${}_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_j \beta]$$

with  $A \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)^*$

- active chart entry:

chart( $i, j, \text{state}(A, \beta)$ )

Note that  $\alpha$  is not represented.

## Dotted rule examples

- A dotted rule represents a state in processing a rule.
- Each dotted rule is a hypothesis:

	We found a <i>vp</i> if we still find
$vp \rightarrow \bullet v-ditr\ np\ pp-to$	a <i>v-ditr</i> , a <i>np</i> , and a <i>pp-to</i>
$vp \rightarrow v-ditr \bullet np\ pp-to$	a <i>np</i> and a <i>pp-to</i>
$vp \rightarrow v-ditr\ np \bullet pp-to$	a <i>pp-to</i>
$vp \rightarrow v-ditr\ np\ pp-to \bullet$	nothing

The first three are examples of **active items** (or **active edges**)

The last one is a **passive item/edge**.

## The three actions in Earley's algorithm

In  $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_j B\beta]$  we call  $B$  the *active constituent*.

- **Prediction:** Search all rules realizing the active constituent.
- **Scanning:** Scan over each word in the input string.
- **Completion:** Combine an active edge with each passive edge covering its active constituent.

## A closer look at the three actions

**Prediction:** for each  $i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_j B \beta]$  in chart  
for each  $B \rightarrow \gamma$  in rules  
add  $j[B \rightarrow \bullet_j \gamma]$  to chart

**Scanning:** let  $w_1 \dots w_j \dots w_n$  be the input string  
for each  $i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_{j-1} w_j \beta]$  in chart  
add  $i[A \rightarrow \alpha w_j \bullet_j \beta]$  to chart

**Completion (fundamental rule of chart parsing):**

for each  $i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_k B \beta]$  and  $k[B \rightarrow \gamma \bullet_j]$  in chart  
add  $i[A \rightarrow \alpha B \bullet_j \beta]$  to chart

## Eliminating scanning

**Scanning:** for each  $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_{j-1} w_j \beta]$  in chart  
add  $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha w_j \bullet_j \beta]$  to chart

**Completion:** for each  $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_k B \beta]$  and  $_k[B \rightarrow \gamma \bullet_j]$  in chart  
add  $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha B \bullet_j \beta]$  to chart

**Observation:** Scanning = completion + words as passive edges. One can thus simplify scanning to adding a passive edge for each word:

for each  $w_j$  in  $w_1 \dots w_n$   
add  $_{j-1}[w_j \rightarrow \bullet_j]$  to chart

## Earley's algorithm without scanning

### General setup:

apply prediction and completion to every item added to chart

**Start:**                    add  ${}_0[start \rightarrow \bullet_0 s]$  to chart  
                              for each  $w_j$  in  $w_1 \dots w_n$   
                                  add  ${}_{j-1}[w_j \rightarrow \bullet_j]$  to chart

**Success state:**  ${}_0[start \rightarrow s \bullet_n]$

## A tiny example grammar

Lexicon:

vp → left

det → the

n → boy

n → girl

Syntactic rules:

s → np vp

np → det n

## An example run

- |                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| start               | 1. $_0[\text{start} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ s}]$          |
| predict from 1      | 2. $_0[\text{s} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ np vp}]$          |
| predict from 2      | 3. $_0[\text{np} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ det n}]$         |
| predict from 3      | 4. $_0[\text{det} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ the}]$          |
| scan "the"          | 5. $_0[\text{the} \rightarrow \bullet_1]$                      |
| complete 4 with 5   | 6. $_0[\text{det} \rightarrow \bullet_1]$                      |
| complete 3 with 6   | 7. $_0[\text{np} \rightarrow \text{det } \bullet_1 \text{ n}]$ |
| predict from 7      | 8. $_1[\text{n} \rightarrow \bullet_1 \text{ boy}]$            |
| predict from 7      | 9. $_1[\text{n} \rightarrow \bullet_1 \text{ girl}]$           |
| scan "boy"          | 10. $_1[\text{boy} \rightarrow \bullet_2]$                     |
| complete 8 with 10  | 11. $_1[\text{n} \rightarrow \text{boy } \bullet_2]$           |
| complete 7 with 11  | 12. $_0[\text{np} \rightarrow \text{det n } \bullet_2]$        |
| complete 2 with 12  | 13. $_0[\text{s} \rightarrow \text{np } \bullet_2 \text{ vp}]$ |
| predict from 13     | 14. $_2[\text{vp} \rightarrow \bullet_2 \text{ left}]$         |
| scan "left"         | 15. $_2[\text{left} \rightarrow \bullet_3]$                    |
| complete 14 with 15 | 16. $_2[\text{vp} \rightarrow \text{left } \bullet_3]$         |
| complete 13 with 16 | 17. $_0[\text{s} \rightarrow \text{np vp } \bullet_3]$         |
| complete 1 with 17  | 18. $_0[\text{start} \rightarrow \text{s} \bullet_3]$          |

## The Earley algorithm in Prolog

(parser/earley/earley.pl)

```
:- dynamic chart/3.           % chart(From,To,state(Lhs,Rest_Rhs))
:- op(1200,xfx,'--->').     % operator for grammar rules

% recognize(+WordList,+Startsymbol): Earley recognizer toplevel

recognize(String,Startsymbol) :-
    retractall(chart(_,_,_)),
    enter_edge(0,0,state('S',[Startsymbol])),
    scan(String,0,N),
    chart(0,N,state('S',[])).
```

```
% enter_edge(+FromIndex,+ToIndex,+Contents)
```

```
% a) only add if it does not yet exist:
```

```
enter_edge(I,J,State) :-  
    chart(I,J,State),  
    !.
```

```
% b) add to chart and make try prediction/completion
```

```
enter_edge(I,J,State) :-  
    assertz(chart(I,J,State)),  
    predict(I,J,State),  
    complete(I,J,State).
```

```

predict(_,J,State) :-
    State = state(_,[B|_]),          % active edge
    (B ----> Gamma),
    enter_edge(J,J,state(B,Gamma)),
    fail
; true.

```

```

% -----

```

```

complete(K,J,State) :-
    State = state(B,[]),            % passive edge
    chart(I,K,state(A,[B|Beta])),
    enter_edge(I,J,state(A,Beta)),
    fail
; true.

```

```
scan([],N,N).
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N) :-
    J is JminOne+1,
    enter_edge(JminOne,J,state(W,[])),
    scan(Ws,J,N).
```

# The tiny example grammar

(parser/earley/earley\_grammar.pl)

```
% lexicon:  
vp ---> [left].  
det ---> [the].  
n ---> [boy].  
n ---> [girl].  
  
% syntactic rules:  
s ---> [np, vp].  
np ---> [det, n].
```

# The example run in Prolog

(parser parser/earley/earley\_trace.pl, grammar: parser/earley/earley\_grammar.pl)

```
| ?- recognize([the,boy,left]).
START:                1: 0-state(S, [s])-----0
PRED s in 1:          2: 0-state(s, [np, vp])----0
PRED np in 2:         3: 0-state(np, [det, n])---0
PRED det in 3:        4: 0-state(det, [the])----0
SCAN 1 (the):         5: 0-state(the, [])-----1
COMP 4 + 5:           6: 0-state(det, [])-----1
COMP 3 + 6:           7: 0-state(np, [n])-----1
PRED n in 7:          8: 1-state(n, [boy])-----1
PRED n in 7:          9: 1-state(n, [girl])-----1
SCAN 2 (boy):        10: 1-state(boy, [])-----2
COMP 8 + 10:          11: 1-state(n, [])-----2
COMP 7 + 11:          12: 0-state(np, [])-----2
COMP 2 + 12:          13: 0-state(s, [vp])-----2
PRED vp in 13:       14: 2-state(vp, [left])----2
SCAN 3 (left):       15: 2-state(left, [])-----3
COMP 14 + 15:        16: 2-state(vp, [])-----3
COMP 13 + 16:        17: 0-state(s, [])-----3
COMP 1 + 17:         18: 0-state(S, [])-----3
SUCCESS: 18
```

## Improving the efficiency of lexical access

- In the setup just described
  - words are stored as passive items so that
  - prediction is used for preterminal categories. The set of predicted words for a preterminal can be huge.
- If each word in the grammar is introduced by a preterminal rule  $cat \rightarrow word$  one can add a **passive item for each preterminal category** which can dominate the word instead of for the word itself.
- What needs to be done:
  - syntactically distinguish syntactic rules ( $--->/2$ ) from rules with preterminals on the left-hand side, i.e. lexical entries ( $lex/2$ ).
  - modify scanning to take lexical entries into account

## Code change for preterminals as passive edges (parser/earley/preterminals/earley.pl)

```
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N) :-  
    J is JminOne+1,  
    enter_edge(JminOne,J,state(W,[])),  
    scan(Ws,J,N).
```

is changed to

```
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N) :-  
    J is JminOne+1,  
    (lex(Cat,W),  
     enter_edge(JminOne,J,state(Cat,[]))),  
    fail  
; scan(Ws,J,N).
```

## The tiny example grammar in the modified format (parser/earley/preterminals/grammar1.pl)

```
% lexicon:  
lex(vp,left).  
lex(det,the).  
lex(n,boy).  
lex(n,girl).  
  
% syntactic rules:  
s ---> [np, vp].  
np ---> [det, n].
```

## The improved example run

(**parser** parser/earley/preterminals/earley\_trace.pl, **grammar:** parser/earley/preterminals/grammar1.pl)

```
| ?- recognize([the,boy,left],s).  
START:                1: 0--state(S,[s])-----0  
PRED s in 1:          2: 0--state(s,[np,vp])---0  
PRED np in 2:         3: 0--state(np,[det,n])--0  
SCAN 1 (the):         4: 0--state(det,[])-----1  
COMP 3 + 4:           5: 0--state(np,[n])-----1  
SCAN 2 (boy):         6: 1--state(n,[])-----2  
COMP 5 + 6:           7: 0--state(np,[])-----2  
COMP 2 + 7:           8: 0--state(s,[vp])-----2  
SCAN 3 (left):       9: 2--state(vp,[])-----3  
COMP 8 + 9:          10: 0--state(s,[])-----3  
COMP 1 + 10:         11: 0--state(S,[])-----3  
SUCCESS: 11
```

## Towards more flexible control

The algorithms, we saw

- use the Prolog database to store the chart and
- Prolog backtracking on edges in chart instead of an explicit agenda.

Alternatively, one can

- explicitly introduce an **agenda**
- to store and work off edges in any order one likes.

## Earley-recognizer with explicit agenda and chart (parser/earley/agenda/earley.pl)

```
:- op(1200,xfx,'--->'). % Operator for grammar rules
```

```
% Data structures: chart(From,To,Category)
```

```
% -----
```

```
% recognize(+WordList)
```

```
% top-level predicate for Earley recognizer
```

```
recognize(String,Startsymbol) :-
```

```
    StartAgenda=[chart(0,0,state('S',[Startsymbol]))],
```

```
    process_agenda(StartAgenda,[],Chart0),
```

```
    scan(String,0,N,Chart0,Chart),
```

```
    element(chart(0,N,state('S',[])),Chart).
```

```

% process_agenda(+Agenda,+ChartIn,-ChartOut)

process_agenda([],X,X).
process_agenda([Edge|Agenda0],Chart0,Chart) :-
    element(Edge,Chart0),!,
    process_agenda(Agenda0,Chart0,Chart).
process_agenda([Edge|Agenda0],Chart0,Chart) :-
    Chart1=[Edge|Chart0],
    %
    predict(Edge,PAgenda),
    append(PAgenda,Agenda0,Agenda1),
    %
    complete(Edge,Chart1,CAgenda),
    append(CAgenda,Agenda1,NewAgenda),
    process_agenda(NewAgenda,Chart1,Chart).

```

```
scan([],N,N,Chart,Chart).
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N,Chart0,Chart) :-
    J is JminOne+1,
    setof(chart(JminOne,J,state(Cat,[])),
        lex(Cat,W),
        Agenda),
    process_agenda(Agenda,Chart0,Chart1),
    scan(Ws,J,N,Chart1,Chart).
```

```

predict(chart(_,J,state(_, [B|_])),Agenda) :-
    setof(chart(J,J,state(B,Gamma)),
        (B ----> Gamma),
        Agenda), !.
predict(_, []). % is passive edge or no matching grammar rule

complete(chart(K,J,state(B, [])),Chart,Agenda) :-
    setof(chart(I,J,state(A,Beta)),
        element(chart(I,K,state(A, [B|Beta])), Chart),
        Agenda), !.
complete(_,_, []). % is active edge or no matching chart edge

```

```
% -----  
% element(?Element,+List)  
  
element(X,[X|_]).  
element(X,[_|L]) :-  
    element(X,L).  
  
% -----  
% append(+List,?List,-List) or append(-List,?List,+List)  
  
append([],L,L).  
append([H|T],L,[H|R]) :-  
    append(T,L,R).
```