

From well-formed substring tables to active charts

Detmar Meurers: Intro to Computational Linguistics I
OSU, LING 684.01, 3. February 2005

Representing active chart items

- well-formed substring entry:
chart(i, j, A): from i to j there is a constituent of category A
- More elaborate data structure needed to store partial results:
 - rule considered + how far processing has succeeded
 - dotted rule:

$${}_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_j \beta] \quad \text{with } A \in N \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in (\Sigma \cup N)^*$$
- active chart entry:
chart($i, j, \text{state}(A, \beta)$) Note that α is not represented.

Overview

- CKY algorithm:
 - explores all analyses in parallel
 - bottom-up
 - stores complete subresults
- desiderata:
 - add top-down guidance (to only use rules derivable from start-symbol), but avoid left-recursion problem of top-down parsing
 - store partial analyses (useful for rules right-hand sides longer than 2)
- Idea: also store partial results, so that the chart contains
 - passive items: complete results
 - active items: partial results

Dotted rule examples

- A dotted rule represents a state in processing a rule.
- Each dotted rule is a hypothesis:

| | |
|--|--|
| | We found a <i>vp</i> if we still find |
| $vp \rightarrow \bullet v\text{-ditr } np \text{ } pp\text{-to}$ | a <i>v-ditr</i> , a <i>np</i> , and a <i>pp-to</i> |
| $vp \rightarrow v\text{-ditr } \bullet np \text{ } pp\text{-to}$ | a <i>np</i> and a <i>pp-to</i> |
| $vp \rightarrow v\text{-ditr } np \bullet pp\text{-to}$ | a <i>pp-to</i> |
| $vp \rightarrow v\text{-ditr } np \text{ } pp\text{-to} \bullet$ | nothing |

The first three are examples of **active items** (or **active edges**)

The last one is a **passive item/edge**.

The three actions in Earley's algorithm

In $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_j B\beta]$ we call B the *active constituent*.

- **Prediction:** Search all rules realizing the active constituent.
- **Scanning:** Scan over each word in the input string.
- **Completion:** Combine an active edge with each passive edge covering its active constituent.

5

A closer look at the three actions

Prediction: for each $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_j B\beta]$ in chart
for each $B \rightarrow \gamma$ in rules
add $_j[B \rightarrow \bullet_j \gamma]$ to chart

Scanning: let $w_1 \dots w_j \dots w_n$ be the input string
for each $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_{j-1} w_j \beta]$ in chart
add $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha w_j \bullet_j \beta]$ to chart

Completion (fundamental rule of chart parsing):

for each $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_k B\beta]$ and $_k[B \rightarrow \gamma \bullet_j]$ in chart
add $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha B \bullet_j \beta]$ to chart

6

Eliminating scanning

Scanning: for each $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_{j-1} w_j \beta]$ in chart
add $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha w_j \bullet_j \beta]$ to chart

Completion: for each $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet_k B\beta]$ and $_k[B \rightarrow \gamma \bullet_j]$ in chart
add $_i[A \rightarrow \alpha B \bullet_j \beta]$ to chart

Observation: Scanning = completion + words as passive edges. One can thus simplify scanning to adding a passive edge for each word:

for each w_j in $w_1 \dots w_n$
add $_{j-1}[w_j \rightarrow \bullet_j]$ to chart

7

Earley's algorithm without scanning

General setup:
apply prediction and completion to every item added to chart

Start: add $_0[start \rightarrow \bullet_0 s]$ to chart
for each w_j in $w_1 \dots w_n$
add $_{j-1}[w_j \rightarrow \bullet_j]$ to chart

Success state: $_0[start \rightarrow s \bullet_n]$

8

A tiny example grammar

Lexicon:

vp → left
det → the
n → boy
n → girl

Syntactic rules:

s → np vp
np → det n

9

An example run

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| start | 1. ${}_0[\text{start} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ s}]$ |
| predict from 1 | 2. ${}_0[\text{s} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ np vp}]$ |
| predict from 2 | 3. ${}_0[\text{np} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ det n}]$ |
| predict from 3 | 4. ${}_0[\text{det} \rightarrow \bullet_0 \text{ the}]$ |
| scan "the" | 5. ${}_0[\text{the} \rightarrow \bullet_1]$ |
| complete 4 with 5 | 6. ${}_0[\text{det} \rightarrow \text{the} \bullet_1]$ |
| complete 3 with 6 | 7. ${}_0[\text{np} \rightarrow \text{det} \bullet_1 \text{ n}]$ |
| predict from 7 | 8. ${}_1[\text{n} \rightarrow \bullet_1 \text{ boy}]$ |
| predict from 7 | 9. ${}_1[\text{n} \rightarrow \bullet_1 \text{ girl}]$ |
| scan "boy" | 10. ${}_1[\text{boy} \rightarrow \bullet_2]$ |
| complete 8 with 10 | 11. ${}_1[\text{n} \rightarrow \text{boy} \bullet_2]$ |
| complete 7 with 11 | 12. ${}_0[\text{np} \rightarrow \text{det n} \bullet_2]$ |
| complete 2 with 12 | 13. ${}_0[\text{s} \rightarrow \text{np} \bullet_2 \text{ vp}]$ |
| predict from 13 | 14. ${}_2[\text{vp} \rightarrow \bullet_2 \text{ left}]$ |
| scan "left" | 15. ${}_2[\text{left} \rightarrow \bullet_3]$ |
| complete 14 with 15 | 16. ${}_2[\text{vp} \rightarrow \text{left} \bullet_3]$ |
| complete 13 with 16 | 17. ${}_0[\text{s} \rightarrow \text{np vp} \bullet_3]$ |
| complete 1 with 17 | 18. ${}_0[\text{start} \rightarrow \text{s} \bullet_3]$ |

10

The Earley algorithm in Prolog (parser/earley/earley.pl)

```
:- dynamic chart/3.           % chart(From,To,state(Lhs,Rest_Rhs))
:- op(1200,xfx,'--->').     % operator for grammar rules
```

```
% recognize(+WordList,+Startsymbol): Earley recognizer toplevel
```

```
recognize(String,Startsymbol) :-
    retractall(chart(_,_,_)),
    enter_edge(0,0,state('S',[Startsymbol])),
    scan(String,0,N),
    chart(0,N,state('S',[ ])).
```

11

```
% enter_edge(+FromIndex,+ToIndex,+Contents)
```

```
% a) only add if it does not yet exist:
```

```
enter_edge(I,J,State) :-
    chart(I,J,State),
    !.
```

```
% b) add to chart and make try prediction/completion
```

```
enter_edge(I,J,State) :-
    assertz(chart(I,J,State)),
    predict(I,J,State),
    complete(I,J,State).
```

12

```

predict(_,J,State) :-
    State = state(_,[B|_]),      % active edge
    (B ---> Gamma),
    enter_edge(J,J,state(B,Gamma)),
    fail
; true.

```

% -----

```

complete(K,J,State) :-
    State = state(B,[]),        % passive edge
    chart(I,K,state(A,[B|Beta])),
    enter_edge(I,J,state(A,Beta)),
    fail
; true.

```

13

```

scan([],N,N).
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N) :-
    J is JminOne+1,
    enter_edge(JminOne,J,state(W,[])),
    scan(Ws,J,N).

```

14

The tiny example grammar (parser/earley/earley_grammar.pl)

```

% lexicon:
vp ---> [left].
det ---> [the].
n ---> [boy].
n ---> [girl].

% syntactic rules:
s ---> [np, vp].
np ---> [det, n].

```

15

The example run in Prolog

(parser parser/earley/earley_trace.pl, grammar: parser/earley/earley_grammar.pl)

```

| ?- recognize([the,boy,left]).
START:          1: 0-state(S,[s])-----0
PRED s in 1:    2: 0-state(s,[np,vp])----0
PRED np in 2:   3: 0-state(np,[det,n])---0
PRED det in 3:  4: 0-state(det,[the])----0
SCAN 1 (the):   5: 0-state(the,[])-----1
COMP 4 + 5:     6: 0-state(det,[])-----1
COMP 3 + 6:     7: 0-state(np,[n])-----1
PRED n in 7:    8: 1-state(n,[boy])-----1
PRED n in 7:    9: 1-state(n,[girl])----1
SCAN 2 (boy):  10: 1-state(boy,[])-----2
COMP 8 + 10:   11: 1-state(n,[])-----2
COMP 7 + 11:   12: 0-state(np,[])-----2
COMP 2 + 12:   13: 0-state(s,[vp])-----2
PRED vp in 13: 14: 2-state(vp,[left])----2
SCAN 3 (left): 15: 2-state(left,[])-----3
COMP 14 + 15:  16: 2-state(vp,[])-----3
COMP 13 + 16:  17: 0-state(s,[])-----3
COMP 1 + 17:   18: 0-state(S,[])-----3
SUCCESS: 18

```

16

Improving the efficiency of lexical access

- In the setup just described
 - words are stored as passive items so that
 - prediction is used for preterminal categories. The set of predicted words for a preterminal can be huge.
- If each word in the grammar is introduced by a preterminal rule $cat \rightarrow word$ one can add a **passive item for each preterminal category** which can dominate the word instead of for the word itself.
- What needs to be done:
 - syntactically distinguish syntactic rules ($--->/2$) from rules with preterminals on the left-hand side, i.e. lexical entries ($lex/2$).
 - modify scanning to take lexical entries into account

17

Code change for preterminals as passive edges

(parser/earley/preterminals/earley.pl)

```
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N) :-  
    J is JminOne+1,  
    enter_edge(JminOne,J,state(W,[])),  
    scan(Ws,J,N).
```

is changed to

```
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N) :-  
    J is JminOne+1,  
    ( lex(Cat,W),  
      enter_edge(JminOne,J,state(Cat,[])),  
      fail  
    ); scan(Ws,J,N).
```

18

The tiny example grammar in the modified format

(parser/earley/preterminals/grammar1.pl)

```
% lexicon:  
lex(vp,left).  
lex(det,the).  
lex(n,boy).  
lex(n,girl).  
  
% syntactic rules:  
s ---> [np, vp].  
np ---> [det, n].
```

19

The improved example run

(parser parser/earley/preterminals/earley_trace.pl, grammar: parser/earley/preterminals/grammar1.pl)

```
| ?- recognize([the,boy,left],s).  
START:          1: 0--state(S,[s])-----0  
PRED s in 1:    2: 0--state(s,[np,vp])---0  
PRED np in 2:   3: 0--state(np,[det,n])--0  
SCAN 1 (the):   4: 0--state(det,[])-----1  
COMP 3 + 4:     5: 0--state(np,[n])-----1  
SCAN 2 (boy):   6: 1--state(n,[])-----2  
COMP 5 + 6:     7: 0--state(np,[])-----2  
COMP 2 + 7:     8: 0--state(s,[vp])-----2  
SCAN 3 (left):  9: 2--state(vp,[])-----3  
COMP 8 + 9:    10: 0--state(s,[])-----3  
COMP 1 + 10:   11: 0--state(S,[])-----3  
SUCCESS: 11
```

20

Towards more flexible control

The algorithms, we saw

- use the Prolog database to store the chart and
- Prolog backtracking on edges in chart instead of an explicit agenda.

Alternatively, one can

- explicitly introduce an **agenda**
- to store and work off edges in any order one likes.

21

Earley-recognizer with explicit agenda and chart (parser/earley/agenda/earley.pl)

```
:- op(1200,xfx,'--->'). % Operator for grammar rules
```

```
% Data structures: chart(From,To,Category)
```

```
% -----
```

```
% recognize(+WordList)
```

```
% top-level predicate for Earley recognizer
```

```
recognize(String,Startsymbol) :-
```

```
    StartAgenda=[chart(0,0,state('S',[Startsymbol]))],
```

```
    process_agenda(StartAgenda,[],Chart0),
```

```
    scan(String,0,N,Chart0,Chart),
```

```
    element(chart(0,N,state('S',[])),Chart).
```

22

```
% process_agenda(+Agenda,+ChartIn,-ChartOut)
```

```
process_agenda([],X,X).
```

```
process_agenda([Edge|Agenda0],Chart0,Chart) :-
```

```
    element(Edge,Chart0), !,
```

```
    process_agenda(Agenda0,Chart0,Chart).
```

```
process_agenda([Edge|Agenda0],Chart0,Chart) :-
```

```
    Chart1=[Edge|Chart0],
```

```
    %
```

```
    predict(Edge,PAgenda),
```

```
    append(PAgenda,Agenda0,Agenda1),
```

```
    %
```

```
    complete(Edge,Chart1,CAgenda),
```

```
    append(CAgenda,Agenda1,NewAgenda),
```

```
    process_agenda(NewAgenda,Chart1,Chart).
```

23

```
scan([],N,N,Chart,Chart).
```

```
scan([W|Ws],JminOne,N,Chart0,Chart) :-
```

```
    J is JminOne+1,
```

```
    setof(chart(JminOne,J,state(Cat,[])),
```

```
        lex(Cat,W),
```

```
        Agenda),
```

```
    process_agenda(Agenda,Chart0,Chart1),
```

```
    scan(Ws,J,N,Chart1,Chart).
```

24

```

predict(chart(_,J,state(_, [B|_])),Agenda) :-
    setof(chart(J,J,state(B,Gamma)),
        (B ---> Gamma),
        Agenda), !.
predict(_, []). % is passive edge or no matching grammar rule

complete(chart(K,J,state(B, [])),Chart,Agenda) :-
    setof(chart(I,J,state(A,Beta)),
        element(chart(I,K,state(A, [B|Beta])), Chart),
        Agenda), !.
complete(_,_, []). % is active edge or no matching chart edge

```

```

% -----
% element(?Element,+List)

element(X, [X|_]).
element(X, [_|L]) :-
    element(X,L).

% -----
% append(+List,?List,-List) or append(-List,?List,+List)

append([],L,L).
append([H|T],L, [H|R]) :-
    append(T,L,R).

```