

<h3>Implementing context-free grammars</h3> <p>Detmar Meurers: Intro to Computational Linguistics I OSU, LING 684.01</p>	<h3>Representing context-free grammars in Prolog</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Towards a basic setup:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What needs to be represented?</li> <li>On the relationship between context-free rules and logical implications</li> <li>A first Prolog encoding</li> </ul> </li> <li>Encoding the string coverage of a node: From lists to difference lists</li> <li>Adding syntactic sugar: Definite clause grammars (DCGs)</li> <li>Representing simple English grammars as DCGs</li> </ul>	<h3>What needs to be represented?</h3> <p>We need representations (data types) for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>terminals, i.e., words</li> <li>syntactic rules</li> <li>linguistic properties of terminals and their propagation in rules:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>syntactic category</li> <li>other properties                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>string covered (“phonology”)</li> <li>case, agreement, ...</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>analysis trees, i.e., syntactic structures</li> </ul>
<p>On the relationship between context-free rules and logical implications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Take the following context-free rewrite rule:</li> <math display="block">S \rightarrow NP\ VP</math> </ul> <p>Nonterminals in such a rule can be understood as predicates holding of the lists of terminals dominated by the nonterminal.</p> <p>A context-free rules then corresponds to a logical implication:</p> $\forall X \forall Y \forall Z NP(X) \wedge VP(Y) \wedge append(X,Y,Z) \Rightarrow S(Z)$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Context-free rules can thus directly be encoded as logic programs.</li> </ul>	<h3>Components of a direct Prolog encoding</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>terminals: unit clauses (facts)</li> <li>syntactic rules: non-unit clauses (rules)</li> <li>linguistic properties:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>syntactic category: predicate name</li> <li>other properties: predicate's arguments, distinguished by position                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>in general: compound terms</li> <li>for strings: list representation</li> </ul> </li> <li>analysis trees: compound term as predicate argument</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<h3>A small example grammar <math>G = (N, \Sigma, S, P)</math></h3> <p><math>N = \{S, NP, VP, V_i, V_t, V_s\}</math></p> <p><math>\Sigma = \{a, clown, Mary, laughs, loves, thinks\}</math></p> <p><math>S = S</math></p> $P = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} S & \rightarrow NP\ VP \\ VP & \rightarrow V_i \\ VP & \rightarrow V_t\ NP \\ VP & \rightarrow V_s\ S \\ V_i & \rightarrow \text{laughs} \\ V_t & \rightarrow \text{loves} \\ V_s & \rightarrow \text{thinks} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{ll} NP & \rightarrow \text{Det}\ N \\ NP & \rightarrow \text{PN} \\ PN & \rightarrow \text{Mary} \\ Det & \rightarrow a \\ N & \rightarrow \text{clown} \end{array} \right\}$
<p>An encoding in Prolog <code>dcg/append_encoding1.pl</code></p> <pre>s(S) :- np(NP), vp(VP), append(NP, VP, S).  vp(VP) :- vi(VP). vp(VP) :- vt(VT), np(NP), append(VT, NP, VP). vp(VP) :- vs(VS), s(S), append(VS, S, VP).  np(NP) :- pn(NP). np(NP) :- det(Det), n(N), append(Det, N, NP).  pn([mary]).    n([clown]).    det([a]). vi([laughs]).  vt([loves]).   vs([thinks]).</pre>	<p>A modified encoding <code>dcg/append_encoding2.pl</code></p> <pre>s(S) :- append(NP, VP, S), np(NP), vp(VP).  vp(VP) :- vi(VP). vp(VP) :- append(VT, NP, VP), vt(VT), np(NP). vp(VP) :- append(VS, S, VP), vs(VS), s(S).  np(NP) :- pn(NP). np(NP) :- append(Det, N, NP), det(Det), n(N).  pn([mary]).    n([clown]).    det([a]). vi([laughs]).  vt([loves]).   vs([thinks]).</pre>	<p>Difference list encoding <code>dcg/diff_list_encoding.pl</code></p> <pre>s(X0, Xn) :- np(X0, X1), vp(X1, Xn).  vp(X0, Xn) :- vi(X0, Xn). vp(X0, Xn) :- vt(X0, X1), np(X1, Xn). vp(X0, Xn) :- vs(X0, X1), s(X1, Xn).  np(X0, Xn) :- pn(X0, Xn). np(X0, Xn) :- det(X0, X1), n(X1, Xn).  pn([mary X], X).    n([clown X], X).    det([a X], X). vi([laughs X], X).  vt([loves X], X).   vs([thinks X], X).</pre>

## Basic DCG notation for encoding CFGs

A DCG rule has the form "*LHS* --> *RHS*." with

- *LHS*: a Prolog atom encoding a non-terminal, and
- *RHS*: a comma separated sequence of
  - Prolog atoms encoding non-terminals
  - Prolog lists encoding terminals

When a DCG rule is read in by Prolog, it is expanded by adding the difference list arguments to each predicate.

(Some Prologs also use a special predicate 'C'/3 to encode the coverage of terminals, defined as 'C'([Head|Tail], Head, Tail).)

10

## Examples for some cfg rules in DCG notation

- $S \rightarrow NP VP$   
 $s \rightarrow np, vp.$
- $S \rightarrow NP \text{ thinks } S$   
 $s \rightarrow np, [thinks], s.$
- $S \rightarrow NP \text{ picks up } NP$   
 $s \rightarrow np, [picks, up], np.$
- $S \rightarrow NP \text{ picks } NP \text{ up}$   
 $s \rightarrow np, [picks], np, [up].$
- $NP \rightarrow \epsilon$   
 $np \rightarrow [].$

11

## An example grammar in definite clause notation

dcg/dcg\_encoding.pl

```
s --> np, vp.
np --> pn.
np --> det, n.
vp --> vi.
vp --> vt, np.
vp --> vs, s.
pn --> [mary]. n --> [clown]. det --> [a].
vi --> [laughs]. vt --> [loves]. vs --> [thinks].
```

12

## The example expanded by Prolog

```
?- listing.
s(A, B) :- np(A, C), vp(C, B).
np(A, B) :- pn(A, B).
np(A, B) :- det(A, C), n(C, B).

s(A, B) :- vp(A, B) :- vi(A, B).
s(A, B) :- vp(A, B) :- n([clown|A], A).
s(A, B) :- vp(A, B) :- det([a|A], A).
s(A, B) :- vp(A, B) :- vi([laughs|A], A).
s(A, B) :- vp(A, B) :- vt([loves|A], A).
s(A, B) :- vp(A, B) :- vs([thinks|A], A).
```

13

## More complex terms in DCGs

Non-terminals can be any Prolog term, e.g.:

```
s --> np(Per,Num),
     vp(Per,Num).
```

This is translated by Prolog to

```
s(A, B) :- np(C, D, A, E),
          vp(C, D, E, B).
```

Restriction:

- The *LHS* has to be a non-variable, single term  
(plus possibly a sequence of terminals).

14

## Using compound terms to store an analysis tree

dcg/dcg\_tree.pl

```
s(s_node(NP,VP)) --> np(NP), vp(VP).

np(np_node(PN)) --> pn(PN).
np(np_node(Det,N)) --> det(Det), n(N).

vp(vp_node(VI)) --> vi(VI).
vp(vp_node(VT,np)) --> vt(VT), np(NP).
vp(vp_node(VS,S)) --> vs(VS), s(S).

pn(mary_node) --> [mary].
n(clown_node) --> [clown].
det(a_node) --> [a].
vi(laugh_node) --> [laughs].
vt(love_node) --> [loves].
vs(think_node) --> [thinks].
```

15

## Adding more linguistic properties

dcg/dcg\_linguistic.pl

```
s --> np(Per,Num), vp(Per,Num).

vp(Per,Num) --> vi(Per,Num).
vp(Per,Num) --> vt(Per,Num), np(_,_).
vp(Per,Num) --> vs(Per,Num), s.

np(3,sg) --> pn.
np(3,Num) --> det(Num), n(Num).

pn --> [mary].
det(sg) --> [a]. n(sg) --> [clown].
det(_) --> [the]. n(pl) --> [clowns].

vi(3,sg) --> [laughs]. vi(_,pl) --> [laugh].
vt(3,sg) --> [loves]. vt(_,pl) --> [love].
vs(3,sg) --> [thinks]. vs(_,pl) --> [think].
```

16

## Additional notation: The RHS of DCGs can include

- **disjunctions** expressed by the ";" operator, e.g.:
 

```
vp --> vintr;
           vtrans, np.
```
- **groupings** are expressed using parenthesis "( )", e.g.:
 

```
vp --> v, (pp_of; pp_at).
```
- **extra conditions** expressed as prolog relation calls inside "{ }" (!)
 can also occur, and need not be enclosed by {}:
 

```
s --> np(Case), vp, {check_case(Case)}.
```

$$s \rightarrow \{ \text{write('in rule 1'), nl}, \\ \quad np, \{\text{write('after np'), nl}\}, \\ \quad vp, \{\text{write('after vp'), nl}\}.$$

17

## Additional notation for the RHS of DCGs: Meta-variables

On the *RHS*, variables can be used for non-terminals and terminals, i.e. as meta-variables. E.g.:

```
verb([up]) --> [pick].
```

```
vp --> verb( Particle ), % pick
      np, % the ball
      Particle. % up
```

Note: The value of the variable has to be known at the time Prolog attempts to prove the subgoal represented by the variable.

18